

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PALENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/879,405	06:12/2001	Toshihiro Sugiura	32759	4611	
29669	7590 05 19 2003				
PEARSON & PEARSON, LLP 10 GEAORGIA STREET LOWELL, MA 01852			EXAMINER POKER, JENNIFER A		
			2832		
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
		09/879,405		SUGIURA ET A	AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Jennifer A. Poker		2832	address
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover st	neet with the c	orrespondence	
۔۔ eriod for l	Reply	NIVIO OFT TO EVOIT	E 1 MONTHO	S) FROM	
THE MA - Extension - Extension - If the policy - If NO policy - Failure - Any represented	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, are eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory part to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by startly received by the Office later than three months after the may patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however reply within the statutory minimion will apply and will expire SIX itute, cause the application to bailing date of this communication	um of thirty (30) days X (6) MONTHS from	s will be considered the mailing date of	I timely. this communication. I).
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	27 February 2003 .			
1)[2]	Kesponsive to communication(s) mas sing	This action is non-fin	al.		to the medite in
	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unc	lowance except for for der Ex parte Quayle, ´	mal matters, p 1935 C.D. 11,		5 to the ments is 5.
-	on of Claims 	nd 77-92 is/are pendin	ng in the applic	cation.	
4)	Claim(s) <u>1-15,17-21,23-27,41-46,63-69 u.</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from considera	ation.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-15,17-21,23-27,41-46,65-69 ar</u>	nd 77-92 are subject t	o restriction a	nd/or election	requirement.
8)[]	Claim(s) <u>1-15, 1/-21, 23-27, 47-40, 65-69 at</u>				
ì	tion Papers The specification is objected to by the Exa	ıminer.			
9)[ted to by the E	xaminer.	1.85/2\
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be he	eld in abeyance.	See 37 CFR	1.00(d).
_	to the second drawing correction filed on	is. a) L appro-	· - /—	proved by the	⊏хапшет.
11)[The proposed drawing correction field on If approved, corrected drawings are required	d in reply to this Office a	iction.		
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required. The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner.			
i i					
Priority	/ under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f)).
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	<u> </u>			
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been re	ceived.		
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc2. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been re	ceived in App	lication No	·
		La priority documenta	Have been	ceived in this	National Stage
	annication from the meaning		LAANIAS NOLIE	CEIVEU.	
	tor doing for o	domestic biloticy arras			rovisional application
14)[☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a part of the foreign language.a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language.	lage provisional applic	cation has bee	n received.	104
15)	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign langu ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. §	§ 120 and/or 1	121.
Attach	ment(s)				3) Paper No(s)
1) 🔲	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap) D-948)	Notice of Inf	formal Patent App	
3)	Information Disclosure Statemonies (Control of Control				Part of Paper No. 7

*Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

General Status

1. Applicant's response/amendment in paper number 6 is acknowledged. Claims 16, 22, 28-40, 47-64, and 70-76 have been cancelled. Currently, claims 1-15, 17-21, 23-27, 41-46, 65-69, and 77-92 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Embodiment 1 -- Figures 1-3, 10

Embodiment 2 -- Figure 5

Embodiment 3 -- Figure 6

Embodiment 4 -- Figure 7

Embodiment 5 (another embodiment) - Figure 11

Embodiment 6 (another embodiment) - Figure 12

Embodiment 7 -- Figure 13

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

• Art Unit: 2832

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer A. Poker whose telephone number is 703-305-4037. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-3:30, Mon.-Fri. (alternating Fridays off).

*Art Unit: 2832

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on 703-308-7619. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

jap May 13, 2003

EUIN ENAD EXAMINER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2807